

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Tradesmark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/929,924	08/15/2001	David M. Center	David M. Center 12861 A		
75	08/23/2002				
•	OTT, MURPHY & PR	EXAMINER			
400 Garden City Plaza Garden City, NY 11530			ANDRES, JANET L		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1646		
			DATE MAILED: 08/23/2002	>	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1/4								
			Application N	0.	Applicant(s)			
			09/929,924		CENTER ET AL.			
	Offic Action Summ	ary	Examiner		Art Unit			
			Janet L Andres		1646			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)□								
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21 and 29-35</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)[5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)□	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
-	Claim(s) <u>21, 29-35</u> are subject	ct to restriction and	/or election re	quirement.				
· · ·	on Papers	a baadha Easania aa						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 								
Attachment(s)								
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing R nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO	•	4) [5) [(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/929,924

Art Unit: 1646

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 21, 29, 30, 32, 33, and 35, drawn to antibodies against sequences comprising CLLS, classified in class 530, subclasses 388.1 and 389.1.
- II. Claims 21, 30, and 35, drawn to antibodies against sequences comprisingWQALLS, classified in class 530, subclasses 388.1 and 389.1.
- III. Claims 21, 30, and 34, drawn to antibodies against sequences comprising QVVA, classified in class 530, subclasses 388.1 and 389.1.
- IV. Claims 21, 31, and 35, drawn to antibodies against sequences comprisingGMWQLLS, classified in class 530, subclasses 388.1 and 389.1.

Claims 21 and 30 appear in more than one group because they encompasses more than one invention, and will be examined to the extent that they read on the elected invention.

Claim 35 link(s) inventions I, II, and IV. The restriction requirement among the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claim(s), claim35. Upon the allowance of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be entitled to examination in the instant application. Applicant(s) are advised that if any such claim(s) depending from or including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) is/are presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory

Application/Control Number: 09/929,924

Art Unit: 1646

double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. *In re Ziegler*, 44 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

The antibodies of Groups I-IV are different, each from the other, because they are generated against different peptides and thus are different molecules with different structural and functional characteristics.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the searches required for Groups I-IV are different, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Janet Andres, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703) 305-0557. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne Eyler, Ph.D., can be reached at (703) 308-6564. The fax phone number for this group is (703) 872-9306 or (703) 872-9307 for after final communications.

Communications via internet mail regarding this application, other than those under U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [yvonne.eyler@uspto.gov].

Application/Control Number: 09/929,924

Art Unit: 1646

All Internet email communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark Office on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Janet Andres, Ph.D. August 12, 2002

> YVONNE EYLER, PH.D SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

Page 4